Chapter 14

Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Systems and Applications



Neural Networks: A Classroom Approach Satish Kumar Department of Physics & Computer Science Dayalbagh Educational Institute (Deemed University)

> Copyright © 2004 Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Co.

"When using a mathematical model, careful attention must be given to the uncertainties in the model."

<u>R. P. Feynman</u>, On the reliability of the Challenger Space Shuttle

"Everything is vague to a degree you do not realize until you have tried to make it precise."

Bertrand Russell, The Philosophy of Logical Atomism

"Logicians have too much neglected the study of vagueness, not suspecting the important part of it plays in mathematical thought."

Charles S. Pierce, Collected Works

"Fuzzy set theory is wrong, wrong and pernicious...Fuzzy logic is the cocaine of science."

William Kahan, University of California, Berkeley

The world is gray but science is black and white...

Logical truth (absolute): 1+1 = 2

Exists only in math worlds



The Fuzzy Principle

The FUZZY PRINCIPLE states that

Everything is a matter of degree

Fuzziness stems from lexical imprecision - an elasticity in the meaning of real world concepts Humans reason with *fuzzy* concepts: Example (Bezdek, 1993)

Advice to driving student: Begin braking 74 feet from the crosswalk > Apply the brakes pretty soon Children quickly learn to interpret: > You must be in bed around 9 pm We assimilate imprecise information and vague rules and reason effortlessly using a *fuzzy logic*



□ Is a 40 year old MIDDLE-AGED ? □ Is a 50 year old MIDDLE-AGED ?



What we try to do is to assess the *compatibility* or *similarity* of x with our *mental prototype*

What are fuzzy sets ? (contd.)

Alternatively: Given that you are MIDDLE-AGED what is the *possibility* that you are aged x years?

Age	Possibility/Belief/Compatibility	1	
0	0.0		
10	0.25	0.8-	
20	0.5		
30	0.75	it 0.6-	
40	1.0	lber	
50	0.75	- 0.4 العلام	
60	0.5		
70	0.25	0.2-	
80	0		
		00	
30 40 50 60 70 80	0.75 1.0 0.75 0.5 0.25 0	0.0 Wempership 0.2	-



What are fuzzy sets ? (contd.)

Mathematically we have a *membership function* :

 $\mu_{MIDDLE-AGED}(x): X \rightarrow [0,1]$

where X is the universe of discourse (UOD).

Classical sets and Fuzzy sets

DExample: Numbers, z, $3 \le z \le 5$



Classical sets and Fuzzy sets

Example: Numbers *close to 4*



Important Points to Note...

- Fuzzy sets admit a continuum of memberships
- Classical sets admit only two-state membership
- Crisp sets are unique
- The Gaussian fuzzy set was chosen from an infinite number of possible membership functions.
- □ To quote Jim Bezdek:
 - "Uniqueness is sacrificed (and mathematicians howl), but flexibility is increased (and engineers smile)."

What does the fuzzy power set F(2X) look like ?

 $\Box Example: X = \{x_1, x_2\}$

 $2^{X} = \{ \phi, \{ x_1 \}, \{ x_2 \}, X \}$ (0,0) (1,0) (0,1) (1,1)

where coordinates are memberships.

What does the fuzzy power set F(2X) look like ?





Define: $\mu_{A\cup B} = max(\mu_A, \mu_B)$





Define: $\mu_{A \cap B} = min(\mu_A, \mu_B)$





Define: $\mu_{A'} = 1 - \mu_A$



The Geometry of Operations on Fuzzy Sets



Fuzzy Set Operations: Examples

Fuzzy Union

Fuzzy Intersection

 $A = (1.0 \ 0.8 \ 0.4 \ 0.5)$ $B = (0.9 \ 0.4 \ 0.0 \ 0.7)$ $A \cup B = (1.0 \ 0.8 \ 0.4 \ 0.7)$ $A \cap B = (0.9 \ 0.4 \ 0.0 \ 0.5)$

 $A = (1.0 \ 0.8 \ 0.4 \ 0.5)$ $B = (0.9 \ 0.4 \ 0.0 \ 0.7)$

Fuzzy Complement

 $A = (1.0 \ 0.8 \ 0.4 \ 0.5) B = (0.9 \ 0.4 \ 0.0 \ 0.7)$ $A^{C} = (0.0 \ 0.2 \ 0.6 \ 0.5) B^{C} = (0.1 \ 0.6 \ 1.0 \ 0.3)$

Fuzzy Operations hold for Classical Sets too!

 $A = (1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0) = \{x_1, x_3, x_4\}$ $B = (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$

 $A \cap B = (1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0) = \{x_1, x_3\}$ $A \cup B = (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ $A^{C} = (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1) = \{x_2, x_5\}$



Completing The Fuzzy Square (contd.)

Proposition:

A is properly fuzzy iff $A \cap A^C \neq \phi$ iff $A \cup A^C \neq X$

At the midpoint:

 $A = A^C = A \bigcap A^C = A \bigcup A^C$



Fuzzy Cube Midpoint Solves the Classical Logical Paradox

- □The Cretan said: "All Cretan's are liars"
- □California bumper sticker: "Don't trust me"
- The barber shaves those who don't shave themselves.
 - Who shaves the barber?

Completing The Fuzzy Square (contd.)



How big is a fuzzy set?

The sigma count of a fuzzy set A, M(A), is the sum of its fit values:

 $M(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_A(x_i)$

and is an l^1 distance.



$$A = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \rightarrow M(A) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{4} = \frac{13}{12}.$$

How big is a fuzzy set ?

The sigma count of a fuzzy set A, M(A), is the sum of its fit values and is an l^1 distance, where the l^p distance between fuzzy sets A and B in Iⁿ is :

 $l^{p}(A,B) = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|^{p}} \quad 1 \le p \le \infty$ $M(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_{A}(x_{i})|$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - 0|$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{\phi}(x_{i})|$ $= l^{1}(A,\phi)$ Sign

Sigma counts become classical cardinalities at the corners of Iⁿ

How *fuzzy* is a fuzzy set ?

We measure the entropy of a fuzzy set:



The Fuzzy Entropy Theorem



At the midpoint E(A) = 1



Classically

$A \subset B$ iff $A \in 2^B$

□Representing sets as bivalent functions or "indicator" functions $m_A(x) \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

 $\Box A \subset B$ iff there is no element x that belongs to A but not to B:

 $m_A(x) = 1$ and $m_B(x) = 0$

Fuzzy Subsets - Dominated Membership



Subsethood

Different points outside the hyperrectangle F(2^B) resemble subsets of B to different degrees.

 $S(A, B) = \text{degree}(A \subset B)$ $= \mu_{F(2^B)}(A)$

 $S(\bullet, \bullet) \in [0, 1].$



If X has a thousand elements and only one element violates the dominated membership function, A is overwhelmingly a subset of B.

Subsethood: Algebraic Derivation

Fit violation strategy: Count fit violations in magnitude and frequency

SUPERSETHOOD = 1 - S(A, B)

Count violations by adding them:

$$\sum_{x \in X} \max(0, \mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x))$$

Subsethood: Algebraic Derivation (contd.)

Supersethood = $\frac{\sum_{x \in X} \max(0, \mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x))}{M(A)}$

$$\sum_{X \in X} \max(0, \mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)) = 1 - \frac{x \in X}{M(A)} \quad 0 \le S(A, B) \le 1$$

 $S(A, B) = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu_A(x_i) \le \mu_B(x_i) \qquad \forall x_i \in X$ $S(A, B) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad B = \phi$

The empty set has no subsets

Subsethood:Geometric Derivation

$$(0,1) = \{x_2\}$$

$$(1,1) = X$$

$$(0,1) = \{x_2\}$$

$$(1,1) = X$$

Subsethood:Geometric derivation

A natural interpretation defines supersethood as:

 $d(A, F(2^B)) = d(A, B^*)$

Normalization by M(A) yields:

$$S(A,B) = 1 - \frac{d(A,B^*)}{M(A)} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(0, \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i))}{M(A)}$$

Subsethood: Geometric derivation

 $A_2 = (0.66, 0.25)$ B = (0.33, 0.75) $B_2^* = (0.33, 0.25)$





Subsethood Theorem



Since d(A, B^{*}) = M(A) - M(A \cap B) : $S(A, B) = 1 - \frac{d(A, B^*)}{M(A)} = \frac{M(A \cap B)}{M(A)}$

Entropy-Subsethood Theorem

Theorem: $E(A) = S(A \cup A^{C}, A \cap A^{C})$

Proof: $S(A, B) = \frac{M(A \cap B)}{M(A)}$ $S(A \cup A^{C}, A \cap A^{C})$ $= \frac{M((A \cup A^{C}) \cap (A \cap A^{C}))}{M(A \cup A^{C})}$ $= \frac{M(A \cap A^{C})}{M(A \cup A^{C})} = E(A)$



A Simple Approximation Example



Defining fuzzy sets on the UODs





Layout Rules on the Contour Plot



Developing the First Cut Rule Base

POS-HI	LOW	LOW	MED-LOW	LOW	LOW
POS-LOW	LOW	MED	MED-HI	MED	LOW
ZERO	MED-LOW	MED-HI	HIGH	MED-HI	MED-LOW
NEG-LOW	LOW	MED	MED-HI	MED	LOW
NEG-HI	LOW	LOW	MED-LOW	LOW	LOW
$X^{\uparrow} Y \rightarrow$	NEG-HI	NEG-LOW	ZERO	POS-LOW	POS-HI

25 Rule Base Simulation



49 Rule Base Simulation

